Higher College of Technology STAFF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION NEW STAFF - CUMULATIVE REPORT-1

Notes:

The objective of the appraisal is to evaluate the performance of staff regularly in order to inform performance improvement efforts.

HCT adheres to transparency in the conduct of its activities; hence the results of the Staff Appraisal will be discussed with the concerned staff member.

Department/Center:	Academic Year:		
Program / Section:	Level:		
Date of Joining:	Length of Service:		
Name:	1		

Achieved %	Description	Rating	Rating Standards:		
90-100%	Excellent	4.5-5.0	Work performance always meets the standards for the position.		
80-89%	Very Good	4.0-4.49	Work performance usually meets the standards for the position.		
70-79%	Good	3.5-3.99	Work performance often meets the standards for the position.		
55-69%	Requires Improvement	2.75-3.49	Work performance doesn't meet the standards for the position. Serious effort is needed to improve the performance.		
≤ 54%	Poor	1.00-2.74	Work performance is inadequate and inferior to the standards of performance required for the position. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.		
NA -	Not applicable		Staff is not required to perform this duty, it cannot be rated.		

Staff Performance Appraisal Score					
I	Teaching Staff		Multiplier Factor (x)	Average score (retrieved from the forms) (Y)	Achieved % (x * y)
	А	Management Evaluation of Lecturer (In - Class)	14 (70%)		
	Student Feedback on B Teaching and Course Delivery		6 (30%)		
Total	Sco	re Achieved	20(100%)		
Overall performance rating:					
Second Appraisal: Required Not required					
	HoD/HoC's DATE: signature:				
Staff	Staff signature:			DATE:	

Distribution of copies/confidentiality/ accessibility details: HoC/HoD, the Lecturer

(A copy of this form should be given to the staff member after the interview session)

Higher College of Technology STAFF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION NEW STAFF - CUMULATIVE REPORT-2

Notes:

The objective of the appraisal is to evaluate the performance of staff regularly in order to inform performance improvement efforts.

HCT adheres to transparency in the conduct of its activities; hence the results of the Staff Appraisal will be discussed with the concerned staff member.

Department/Center:	Academic Year:
Program / Section:	Level:
Date of Joining:	Length of Service:
Name:	

Achieved %	Description	Rating	Rating Standards:		
90-100%	Excellent	4.5-5.0	Work performance always meets the standards for the position.		
80-89%	Very Good	4.0-4.49	Work performance usually meets the standards for the position.		
70-79%	Good	3.5-3.99	Work performance often meets the standards for the position.		
55-69%	Requires Improvement	2.75-3.49	Work performance doesn't meet the standards for the position. Serious effort is needed to improve the performance.		
≤ 54%	Poor	1.00-2.74	Work performance is inadequate and inferior to the standards of performance required for the position. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.		
NA -	Not applicable		Staff is not required to perform this duty, it cannot be rated.		

Staff Performance Appraisal Score					
1	Teaching Staff		Multiplier Factor (x)	Average score (retrieved from the forms) (Y)	Achieved % (x * y)
	А	Management Evaluation of Lecturer (In - Class)	9 (45%)		
	В	Student Feedback on Teaching and Course Delivery	4 (20%)		
	С	Lecturer's General Performance Evaluation	7 (35%)		
Total Score Achieved			20(100%)		
Ove	rall p	erformance rating:			
☐ Staff Retained ☐ Recommendation for Termination issued					
-	HoD/HoC's DATE: signature:				
Staff	sign	ature:		DATE:	

Distribution of copies/confidentiality/ accessibility details: HoC/HoD, the Lecturer

(A copy of this form should be given to the staff member after the interview session)